







Ergebnis der Online-VLU. Die Umfrage fand in den letzten beiden Vorlesungswochen statt.


1 Bewertung der Vorlesung




2 Bewertung der Dozierenden




Wie viel verstehst du während der Vorlesung?




Ist der Dozent/die Dozentin gut auf Fragen eingegangen?



War der Dozent/die Dozentin außerhalb der Vorlesung für Fragen etc. erreichbar?




War die Dozentin / der Dozent akustisch gut zu verstehen?




3 Bewertung des Moduls


Helfen die verlangten Studienleistungen, das Modul erfolgreich abzuschließen?




Findest du die verlangten Studienleistungen für dieses Modul angemessen?




Würdest du das Modul weiterempfehlen?




Der Praxisbezug war...




Ist der Arbeitsaufwand für dieses Modul im Hinblick auf die LP-Zahl angemessen?



Dein Interesse für dieses Thema ist...




Wie viele Stunden hast du insgesamt, inkl. Vorlesung, Übung, Übungsaufgaben..., pro Woche für dieses Modul aufgewendet?




4 Bewertung der Übungsaufgaben


Wie oft hast du die Übungen besucht?




Wurden die Übungsaufgaben rechtzeitig zur Verfügung gestellt?




Die Schwierigkeit der Übungsblätter schwankte...




Die Vorlesung war...



Die Übungsgruppe war...




Die Übungsaufgaben waren meistens...




5 Bewertung des Tutoriums


War der Tutor/die Tutorin außerhalb der Übung für Fragen etc. erreichbar?




Waren die Korrekturen des Tutors/der Tutorin nachvollziehbar?



Wurde der Tutor/die Tutorin mit dem Stoff der Übung fertig?




Lohnt sich der Besuch des Tutoriums?



6 Abschließende Bewertung des Moduls

Note:



7 Freitextkommentare

7.1 Was hat dir an dieser Lehrveranstaltung gefallen?

The course covers a difficult subject in a way that is easy to follow. The 2nd half of the course covers topics that cannot easily be found elsewhere (i.e. there are no textbooks on the topic), while at the same time the material seems important and practically relevant.

yes

-Giving lecture notes -Illustration with examples and pictures

I think the presentation of the topics was good and if I hadn't taken LGO before, this lecture would have been a really good introduction to the area. I especially liked that we skipped over some theorems of mostly mathematical interest and did the algorithms in more depth.

The lecturer was trying his best to explain the things, he answered our questions, he tried to make the contents understandable to all. The lecturer is kind and the lectures are interesting.

-many examples -due to the live writing, the speed of the lecture was nice -the exercises helped to process the lecture material

-angenehmer Vortragsstil -sehr gute i.e. zum Verständnis beitragende Übungsaufgaben

7.2 Was könnte noch besser gemacht werden?

all proofs added to the script

-Pace too slow relative to Uni Bonn -many interesting topics worth more time to discuss and deepen

I am pretty disappointed that the course didn't actually discuss binary linear and quadratic optimization! We did basic linear programming for three months and got a glimpse of binary linear optimization at the end. If we did quadratic optimization I missed it. All in all, the contents of this lecture were a strict subset of the contents of the Lineare und Ganzzahlige Optimierung lecture. Since Dr. Mallach asked at the start who had taken that lecture and over half said they did; I think it would have been fair to just tell us then, that he would not discuss anything new (he did tell us that the start might be a bit boring, though). An even better course of action would have been to simply ignore those that didn't know the requirements and hold a difficult but rewarding lecture. This is a masters program after all!

I would like to have uploaded solutions of the exercises and proofs of the theorems (no need for typed proofs, but at least written notes (just that we can compare our notes when we think that we missed something or we could not attend the live lectures). During the entire course, I had a feeling that something is missing, maybe some additional explanations to be written (at least in the script, or maybe the script should contain more "free" text). Maybe some suggestion for saving time: references to proofs can be only in the script, no need to write them, Some lectures were much longer than others due to additional stuff from the script, so this could be maybe avoided in some next lectures.

It would be nice to integrate the proofs into the script.

- evtl. die Einleitung zu Konvexität + LP + Simplex Algorithmus etwas knapper halten, sodass mehr Zeit für den eigentlichen Inhalt der Vorlesung bleibt - auch wenn der Einstieg sehr hilfreich war, um bereits gelerntes zu reaktivieren. Mein Hintergrund: Mathematik Bachelor mit einer Vorlesung zu LP

7.3 Hier hast du Platz für weitere Anmerkungen und Feedback zum Modul.

Just my opinion: shorten the introduction part about convex set and LP formulation. More time on polyhedral discriptions on more (NP-hard) problems, and quadratic (binary) optimization

Please indicate in the module description that this course is mostly about basic linear programming.

7.4 Hier hast du Platz für Anmerkungen und Feedback zur Umfrage.